

At a meeting of the Accomack County Board of Zoning Appeals held on the 2nd day of February, 2022 in the Accomack County Administration Building Board Chambers, Room #104, in Accomac, Virginia.

1. CALL TO ORDER

A. MEMBERS PRESENT AND ABSENT

Board of Zoning Appeals Members Present:

Mrs. Elizabeth Kerns, Vice Chair
Mr. Lynn P. Gayle
Mr. Harvey A. Drewer, II
Mr. W. Richard Bull, Jr.

Board of Zoning Appeals Members Absent:

Mr. Robert L. Nock, Chair

Others Present:

Mr. Rich Morrison, Deputy County Administrator
Mrs. Stephanie Woods, Administrative Assistant of Building, Planning & Economic Dev.
Mr. Paul Seltzer, Deputy Director
Mr. Chris Daley, Zoning Code Enforcement Officer
Mr. Chris Guvernator, Environmental Programs Director
Mr. Tom Brockenbrough, Floodplain Administrator
Ms. Jan Proctor, Accomack County Attorney

B. DETERMINATION OF A QUORUM

There being a quorum, Vice Chair Kerns called the meeting to order at 10:01 a.m.

2. ADOPTION OF AGENDA

On a motion made by Mr. Bull and seconded by Mr. Gayle, the Board of Zoning Appeals voted unanimously to adopt the agenda as presented.

3. MINUTES

January 5, 2022: *On a motion made by Mr. Drewer and seconded by Mr. Gayle, the Board of Zoning Appeals voted unanimously to approve the January 5, 2022 meeting minutes with edits.*

4. OLD BUSINESS

A. VAR-000226-2021: Payne Poultry, LLC. – Tax Map # 42-A-27

A continued request for variances from the requirements outlined in Section 106-232 of the Accomack County Poultry Ordinance, to use the property as a confined poultry operation in accordance with Ordinance Section 106-232. The confined poultry operation has not produced chickens since June 2018 and is no longer a non-conforming use. Variances or relief from the Board of Zoning Appeals are needed for the re-use of the property as a confined poultry operation. The property is located at Tax Map # 42-A-27 located at 32080 Nocks Landing Road, Atlantic, VA and is zoned Agricultural.

Mr. Morrison gave a history of the request and informed the Board of updated items since the previous meeting. Mr. Morrison informed the BZA of the proposed motion and a list of variances required.

Mr. Payne was invited up to speak and was sworn in. Mr. Payne stated that after speaking with Mr. Marshall the proposed buyer, that Mr. Marshall stated to him that it would be better for him if he could use the back four (4) houses and not the front two (2) and would have them demolished. Mr. Payne stated that Mr. Marshall's first order of business would be to put the meters on all of the wells.

Mr. Gayle inquired as to which houses he is stating would not be in use, houses 1 and 3 or 1 and 2. Mr. Payne informed the Board that he met with staff to discuss houses 1 and 3 not being in production but that Mr. Marshall the proposed buyer would prefer for houses 1 and 2 to not be in production. Mr. Gayle verified that if houses 1 and 2 were not in production Mr. Marshall would be willing to demolish them. Mr. Payne stated yes if the Board proceeds with an approval.

Mrs. Patricia Demarco was invited up to speak and sworn in. Mrs. Demarco explained that if house number 3 were to be left in production it would do nothing to assist the Southwind Community with the smell and other concerns with the farm. Mrs. Demarco stated that there is currently no buffer behind her house due to some of the buffer dying and a severe storm that destroyed the trees.

Mr. Drewer stated that if there is no further questions from the Board at this time that they should postpone this case until the end of the meeting. Mr. Drewer also asked Mr. Payne to clarify about the current buffer and to verify if there will be new plantings. Mr. Payne stated that the current buffer did suffer from several severe storms, and should have been brought back up to compliance. Mr. Payne verified that there is a new landscape buffer plan and that new plantings will occur.

On a motion made by Mr. Drewer and seconded by Mr. Bull the Board of Zoning Appeals voted unanimously to postpone this case until the end of the meeting.

Mr. Morrison inquired if the Board would prefer to entertain the proposal of houses numbered 1 and 3 to not be in production or houses numbered 1 and 2. Mr. Bull stated that the landscape buffer will need to be replaced. Mr. Morrison stated that that is part of the application and is expected to be installed. Mr. Gayle inquired if it is accurate that the newer poultry houses do not create as much smell. Mr. Morrison stated that the houses Mr. Payne owns do not fall into that category, stating that it is the much newer houses that are built to create less smell.

Mrs. Kerns stated that she likes the proposal with house numbers 1 and 3 not in production as it relates to the community. Mr. Payne stated that at the time he originally built the poultry houses he built them with a higher setback than what was required at the time. Mrs. Kerns inquired about the future use of the houses that will not be in production. Mr. Morrison stated that it is unknown at this time. The Board discussed the proposals at hand.

On a motion made by Mr. Gayle and seconded by Mr. Drewer the Board of Zoning Appeals voted unanimously to grants three (3) variances for Parcel 42-A-27 to facilitate the parcel split requested to remove the residence at 32080 Nocks Landing Road from the parcel with the poultry houses as depicted in the landscape plan submitted by Point North Surveying LLC dated January 19th, 2022.

The variances are as follows:

- 1. A variance of 150 feet pursuant to Accomack County Code Section 106-232(f)(5)(c), which states “The minimum setbacks for any portion of a confined poultry operation shall be as follows: Two hundred feet from any property line.*
- 2. A variance of 129 feet pursuant to Accomack County Code Section 106-232(f)(5)(f), which states: “The minimum setbacks for any portion of a confined poultry operation shall be as follows: In agricultural zoning districts: 500 feet from incorporated towns or residential zoning district boundaries, improved subdivisions of ten or more lots, and mobile home parks or travel trailer parks with ten or more units, except where tunnel fans are pointed toward the incorporated town or residential zoning district boundary, improved subdivision of ten or more lots, and mobile home park or travel trailer park with ten or more units, in which case the minimum setback shall be 600 feet.”*
- 3. A variance of an estimated 298 feet from the northern poultry house pursuant to Accomack County Code Section 106-232(f)(5)(a), which states: “The minimum setbacks for any portion of a confined poultry operation shall be as follows: 500 feet from any existing dwelling on an adjacent property, except where tunnel fans are on the end next to the dwelling, in which case the minimum setback shall be 600 feet”.*

On a motion made by Mr. Gayle and seconded by Mr. Drewer the Board of Zoning Appeals voted unanimously to grant 12 variances required to authorize a 4 poultry house with manure shed confined poultry operation as depicted in the landscape plan submitted by Point North Surveying LLC dated January 19th, 2022.

The variances are as follows:

- 1. Two variances pursuant to Accomack County Code Section 106-232(f)(5)(a), which states: “The minimum setbacks for any portion of a confined poultry operation shall be as follows: 500 feet from any existing dwelling on an adjacent property, except where tunnel fans are on the end next to the dwelling, in which case the minimum setback shall be 600 feet.”*
 - a. Approximately 130 feet from the dwelling located at 32020 Nocks Landing Road.*
 - b. 340 feet from the dwelling located at 32124 Nocks Landing Road.*
- 2. Four variances pursuant to Accomack County Code Section 106-232(f)(5)(b), which states: “The minimum setbacks for any portion of a confined poultry operation shall be as follows: 400 feet from any existing business on an adjacent property.”*
 - a. 345 feet from the building on Parcel # 42-1-B.*
 - b. 370 feet from the building on Parcel # 42-A-100*
 - c. 100 feet from the building on Parcel # 42-4-C1*

- d. 150 feet from the building on Parcel # 42-4-C2*
- 3. A variance of 180 feet, from the northeast corner of the manure shed affecting three (3) properties, pursuant to Accomack County Code Section 106-232(f)(5)(c), which states: "The minimum setbacks for any portion of a confined poultry operation shall be as follows: 200 feet from any property line."*
 - 4. A variance of 67 feet pursuant to Accomack County Code Section 106-232(f)(5)(d), which states "The minimum setbacks for any portion of a confined poultry operation shall be as follows: Two hundred feet from the center of U.S. Route 13, measured from the center of the northbound or southbound lanes, whichever is closer. One hundred twenty-five feet from the center of state or county maintained roads, other than US Route 13, or 150 feet from state or county maintained roads, other than US Route 13, if the tunnel fans directed towards the road."*
 - 5. A variance of 389 feet, from the Southwind Subdivision which effects six (6) properties, pursuant to Accomack County Code Section 106-232(f)(5)(f), which states: "The minimum setbacks for any portion of a confined poultry operation shall be as follows: In agricultural zoning districts: 500 feet from incorporated towns or residential zoning district boundaries, improved subdivisions of ten or more lots, and mobile home parks or travel trailer parks with ten or more units, except where tunnel fans are pointed toward the incorporated town or residential zoning district boundary, improved subdivision of ten or more lots, and mobile home park or travel trailer park with ten or more units, in which case the minimum setback shall be 600 feet."*

The following conditions apply to all the variances granted for the confined poultry operation:

- 1. Poultry farm is subject to any stormwater regulations deemed necessary by Accomack County Environmental Programs Department.*
- 2. As depicted on the landscape plan dated January 19, 2022, poultry houses 1 & 3 are not to be used for production of poultry.*
- 3. No poultry to be placed in houses until all wells have meters installed per DEQ requirements.*
- 4. Owner will notify staff when installation of meters is complete.*
- 5. Obtain a Zoning Permit for the confined poultry operation from the County.*

The variances are granted for the following reasons:

- 1. The BZA finds that the property was formerly used as a confined poultry operation and had the usage not been interrupted, this property could have continued as a nonconforming poultry operation.*
- 2. The BZA finds that the latest proposal made to remove poultry houses 1 and 3 from production increases the distance from property lines to poultry housing. Therefore it makes the previous nonconforming use more conforming.*
- 3. The BZA further finds that the landscape plan as submitted by Point North Surveying LLC dated January 19th, 2022 meets the current ordinance requirements, and the installation of a landscape buffer will help screen the poultry operation from neighboring property views.*

5. NEW BUSINESS (PUBLIC HEARINGS)

A. SUSE-000275-2021: Michael & Jessica McLoughlin – Tax Map # 79-A-58

A hearing initiated by Michael & Jessica McLoughlin to request a Special Use Permit pursuant to Accomack County Code Section 106-53(14) which allows for the use of travel trailers for habitation. Mr. & Mrs. McLoughlin would like to use a travel trailer for habitation until they are able to build a dwelling. The McLoughlin's were awarded a Special Use Permit originally in May of 2020 for the use of a travel trailer for habitation for nine (9) months which expired in February of 2021. The McLoughlin's were issued a violation notice in November of 2021 informing them of the illegal use of the travel trailer for habitation without a Special Use Permit and have submitted this application in response to the notice. The parcel is located at Tax Map # 79-A-58 located at 20383 Fox Grove Road, Parksley, VA and is zoned Agricultural.

Mr. Daley gave an overview of the request informing the Board as to the history of this request. Mr. Michael McLoughlin and his wife Mrs. Jessica McLoughlin were invited up to speak and sworn in. Mr. McLoughlin gave a history of their previous application, what has happened since, and the reasons that construction has not started due to the Coronavirus Pandemic, as he was out of work for at least a year. Mr. McLoughlin stated that they have made significant improvements on the property and that they are in contact with an architect, builders, and the bank to get the project back on track. Mr. McLoughlin stated they are at the point now where they are ready to apply for a building permit and start construction.

Mr. Drewer stated that he understands that the previously awarded Special Use Permit expired, and inquired as to why there was no direction towards the office to renew or ask for an extension. Mr. McLoughlin stated that their frame of mind at the time was too chaotic, and that he didn't have any information to give to the County concerning an extension. Mr. Bull inquired about potentially moving the trailer off of the parcel, and inquired if there is any other property that they own to move it to. Mr. McLoughlin stated that they do not own any other property to move the trailer too, and that the house they owned in New York had burnt down during this timeframe as well.

Mr. Bull inquired as to their anticipated date for starting construction. Mr. McLoughlin stated that they are hoping to apply for a building permit within the next week and that they have a foundation contractor ready to go, and that the hope is to have the foundation laid in March to start framing. Mr. Gayle stated that he remembers when the McLoughlin's were before the Board in 2020 and that he remembers having the conversation about potentially buying/renting a mobile home instead of placing a travel trailer. Mr. Gayle stated that if that option had been taken this would be a non-issue and they would not need to be back before the BZA.

Mr. Drewer stated that it is a tough situation, no doubt about it, but that there are things happening in the County that need to be addressed and that this is one of those issues. Mr. Drewer stated that the County needs to get a handle on travel trailers that are on properties being used for habitation that are not supposed to be there. Mr. Bull stated he is willing to offer a proposal to give the applicants 30 days to look into renting a mobile home. Mr. Gayle agreed stated he feels it is a good way to go but that they are potentially going to need more than 30 days. Mr. Gayle stated that the renting situation is difficult due to the animals on the property, and that he is inclined to give them another

year. Mr. Gayle stated to the McLoughlin's that they are not to be back in the next year and that they need to look into renting a mobile home or something of that nature.

On a motion made by Mr. Gayle and seconded by Mr. Bull the Board of Zoning Appeals voted unanimously to approve SUSE-000275-2021 for a Special Use Permit to allow the use of a travel trailer for habitation pursuant to Accomack County Code Section 106-53(14) due to job interruptions due to the Coronavirus Pandemic, delays with contractors, and the fire at their previous home.

The Special Use Permit is approved with the following condition:

- 1. The travel trailer is to be occupied for no more than one (1) year from today's date February 2, 2022, with the clear understanding that there is no further extensions or renewal possible.***

B. VAR-000276-2021: George Young – Tax Map # 55A-A-37

A hearing initiated by George Young to request a variance pursuant to Accomack County Code Section 106-83(b) which states that each main structure shall have a rear yard of 35 feet or more. Mr. Young is requesting a variance of 8.2 feet due to the location of the existing septic system being located in the front portion of the property. The parcel is located at Tax Map # 55A-A-37 located at 14333 Lankford Highway, Bloxom, VA and is zoned Residential.

Mr. Daley gave an overview of the request to the Board. Mr. Charles Shade with Clayton Homes was invited to speak on Mr. Young's behalf and sworn in. Mr. Dennis Byrd was also invited to speak and sworn in. Mr. Byrd stated he is planning on building a house on the property for his daughter, and that he didn't know this would need to be done, but that he is happy to do it in order to build the house for his daughter. Mr. Shade stated that the septic on the property was tested and found to be in good working condition, but the location prevents them from placing the house further from the rear lot line. Mrs. Kerns verified that the hardship in his case is the existing septic system location on the property. Mr. Shade states yes it is and that to put in a new septic system would create a new financial hardship. Mr. Shade stated that there is family owned property on both sides of the lot.

On a motion made by Mr. Drewer and seconded by Mr. Gayle the Board of Zoning Appeals voted unanimously to approve application VAR-000276-2021 on Tax Map # 55A-A-37 for an eight foot variance pursuant to Accomack County Code Section 106-83(b).

C. APPL-000280-2022: Antonio Azevedo – Tax Map # 76-6-7

A hearing initiated by Antonio Azevedo, for an application filed under Accomack County Code Section 106-254 to appeal a determination made under Accomack County Code Section 106-387 by the Administrator in a letter dated 12/09/2021. Mr. Azevedo's administrative waiver application (RPA-000385-2021) to encroach in the RPA was denied by the Director of Environmental Programs. Mr. Azevedo is appealing this decision. The parcel is located at Tax Map # 76-6-7 located at 19058 Mink Farm Road, Onancock, VA and is zoned Agricultural.

Mr. Morrison reviewed the appeal process with the Board. Mr. Morrison suggested they open the public hearing, hear from the Environmental Programs Director, Mr. Guvernator, then hear from the applicant, close the public hearing, and then have discussion before making a decision.

Mr. Kerns opened the public hearing for the appeal of a RPA administrative waiver decision and invited Mr. Guvernator to speak. Mr. Guvernator informed the Board as to the history of this case from application to denial to appeal. Mr. Guvernator gave a detailed timeline of the project on Mr. Azevedo's property from the initial code case, RPA application, denial, court case, and now appeal. Mr. Guvernator stated that he informed Mr. Azevado of other areas on his property that would be more permissible for the project.

Mr. Paul Schmidt, attorney for Mr. Azevedo, was invited up to speak. Mr. Schmidt referenced several different Zoning Ordinance Sections and how they relate to this case. Mr. Schmidt spoke to the letter received from DEQ stating "accessory structures in the RPA are not permitted through an administrative process". Mr. Schmidt stated that they are not going through the administrative review process, but that they are before the BZA through Code Section 389 for an exception. Mr. Schmidt stated that Mr. Azevado placed the pool due to a debilitating back problem his wife has and the pool is to assist her with the pain. Mr. Schmidt stated that they are potentially open to different locations that may be more permissible but expressed that they were not informed of that option.

Mr. Gayle inquired as to who the contractor was that placed the pool. Mr. Azevedo, was sworn in, and stated that it was him and that he is a licensed contractor. Mr. Gayle stated that he should have followed the permitting process and that is a major issue of this project.

Mrs. Kerns invited the County Attorney, Ms. Jan Proctor, up to speak. Ms. Proctor stated they were not aware that an exception request was being made, and that the Board can only act on the administrative waiver as the exception was not noticed to the public. Mr. Schmidt stated he can speak to that and read from his letter requesting the appeal, stating that the exception was noted in the letter submitted to Mr. Guvernator. Ms. Procter stated that the law requires that the actual request be advertised, and whether it was requested or not, the Board is unable to act upon a potential exception.

Mrs. Kerns requested that Mr. Guvernator show the other location that staff had proposed for the pool. Mr. Guvernator showed the staff proposed location for the pool on the provided site plan, noting it would be closer to the house and slightly in front of it.

Mrs. Kerns closed the public hearing and inquired if there were any further comments from the Board members. There was none.

On a motion made by Mr. Gayle and seconded by Mr. Bull the Board of Zoning Appeals voted unanimously to deny case APPL-000280-2022, for an appeal upholding the denial of the RPA administrative waiver request.

D. VAR-000287-2022: Selby Sign Company – Tax Map # 27A-1-1

A hearing initiated by Selby Sign Company to request a variance pursuant to Accomack County Code Section 106-178(n)(1) which states that one sign shall not exceed 96 square feet. Selby Sign Company is requesting a variance of 96 square feet to replace the sign for Ray's Shanty with a double sided sign (96 square feet on each side) with a total of 192 square feet. The parcel is located at Tax Map # 27A-1-3 located adjacent to 32157 Chincoteague Road, New Church, VA and is zoned Agricultural.

Mr. Seltzer gave an overview of the request to the Board. Mr. Jamie Covington with Selby Sign was invited up to speak and sworn in. Mr. Covington spoke to the history of the sign as to when it was placed and showed a fax that he had in his records concerning to speaking with the Building Official at that time. Mr. Covington spoke to how he felt the square foot of a sign is determined and showed the Board examples of other signs he has placed that were previously permitted within the County. Mr. Covington expressed his concern about needing a variance for a double sided sign, as it is still a 96 square foot sign despite being double sided.

Mrs. Kerns asked for clarification on the double sided sign issue that Mr. Covington had spoken to. Mr. Morrison stated that it isn't expressly stated in the code concerning double sided signs. Mr. Kerns asked if there was anyone signed up to speak, there was, and they stated they only wanted to speak if it was absolutely necessary. The Board expressed that they understood their intent and it wasn't necessary for them to speak if they didn't want to.

On a motion made by Mr. Drewer and seconded by Mr. Gayle the Board of Zoning Appeals voted unanimously to grant a variance of 96 square feet for parcel 27A-1-3 pursuant to Accomack County Code Section 106-178(n)(1) which states that one sign shall not exceed ninety six (96) square feet.

The variance is granted for the following reasons:

- 1. The sign has been up presumably since 1988 when the business was established.***
- 2. If the sign had not been damaged there would not have been any issues with the sign as it was previously constructed.***
- 3. In all other instances in the zoning ordinance replacement of nonconformities is allowed. The sign language requires this process for conformance.***

E. VAR-000282-2022: Linda Thompson – Tax Map # 24-4-1

A hearing initiated by Ms. Linda Thompson to request a variance pursuant to Accomack County Code Section 106-364(5) which states that buildings and structures within the coastal A zone shall have the lowest floor elevated to or above the base flood elevation plus two feet of freeboard. Ms. Thompson is requesting a variance of 0.68 feet of elevation for an accessory structure that has been placed on her property at 10.32 feet of elevation but is required to be at 11 feet of elevation. The parcel is located at Tax Map # 24-4-1 located adjacent to 7485 Holdens Creek Road Temperanceville, VA and is zoned Agricultural.

Mr. Brockenbrough gave an overview of the request to the Board. Mrs. Thompson was invited up to speak and sworn in. Mrs. Thompson informed the Board that she had

bought the property with the intention of placing the accessory structure on it and had a lot line vacated in order to do so. Mrs. Thompson stated that she used dirt to elevate the structure. Mrs. Thompson stated that she was unaware that the surveyor had come out for his second flood elevation inspection, or submitted the updated elevation certificate noting that the building was not at the 11 foot elevation it is supposed to be at.

Mr. Gayle stated that there is someone responsible for the situation she is in, and inquired as to who she felt was responsible. Mrs. Thompson stated that she feels the County inspectors should have verified that the footers were at the required 11 feet they were supposed to be at when they inspected it. Mr. Brockenbrough stated that from the original construction elevation certificate and the final construction certificate the elevation changed by 1.3 feet.

Mr. Brockenbrough reviewed the current flood insurance discount through FEMA's CRS Program, informing the Board that the County is currently a class 6. Mr. Brockenbrough stated that if the County does not keep enforcing the freeboard requirement they could move to a class 9 which would significantly impact and lower the County's flood insurance discount. Mr. Brockenbrough stated this is something that would affect the entire County. Mr. Bull agreed with Mr. Gayle's previous comment, that there is someone responsible for the oversight. Mr. Gayle stated that the BZA is the path of least resistance, but the potential impact on the insurance is not something to be taken lightly. Members of the Board expressed their agreement.

On a motion made by Mr. Gayle and seconded by Mr. Bull the Board of Zoning Appeals voted unanimously to deny request VAR-000282-2022 for a variance from the elevation requirements of Base Flood Elevation plus two (2) feet for a residential accessory structure as authorized by Section 106-367 1-3 of the Zoning Ordinance on Tax Map 24-4-1.

The variance request is denied for the following:

- 1. Mrs. Thompson acknowledges she was informed of the elevation requirement of the Ordinance prior to receiving a building permit for the structure.***
- 2. The structure was constructed below the required level.***
- 3. There is no finding of hardship.***
- 4. The need for the variance request was created by the failure of the contractor to construct the building at the required level.***
- 5. Granting the request could be detrimental to Accomack County's participation in FEMA's Community Rating System Program through reduced discounts to flood insurance policy holders.***

F. VAR-000284-2022: Dianne Flournoy – Tax Map #'s 68-A-191A1, 68-A-191A2, & 68-A-191C

A hearing initiated by Ms. Dianne Flournoy to request a variance pursuant to Accomack County Code Section 106-57 which states the minimum frontage for permitted uses shall be 150 feet at the setback line in the Agricultural Zoning District. Ms. Flournoy is requesting a variance of 109 feet for future land sales as Ms. Flournoy would like to combine the listed parcels into one parcel. The parcels are located at Tax Map #'s 68-A-

191A1, 68-A-191A2, & 68-A-191C located at 16460 Hopeton Road, Bloxom, VA and is zoned Agricultural.

Mr. Daley gave an overview of the request to the Board. Mr. Bull went on the record to state that the Real Estate agent on the request is related to him. Mr. John Custis was invited up to speak on Ms. Flournoy's behalf and sworn in.

Mr. Custis gave an overview of the request and suggested that there is confusion on the number of parcels due to the fact that several plats were recorded. Mr. Custis reviewed the history of the parcels in question and the different requests that were made. Mr. Custis stated that if the variance is not approved Ms. Flournoy will lose the sale of the property. Mrs. Anne-Kyle Doughty requested to speak and was sworn in, and stated that she is the real estate agent on the case and stated that the road frontage has not changed since the previously approved variance in 2016.

On a motion made by Mr. Gayle and seconded by Mr. Drewer the Board of Zoning Appeals voted unanimously to grant a variance of 109 feet pursuant to Accomack County Code Section 106-57 which states the minimum frontage for permitted uses shall be 150 feet, at the setback line, in the Agriculture District.

This variance is granted based on the following findings of fact:

- 1. One of these parcels was awarded a frontage variance in 2016.***
- 2. Granting the variance will allow three (3) non-conforming parcels to be combined into a single parcel that conforms to the current area requirements.***

6. OTHER MATTERS

- Mr. Morrison stated for a matter of record that the exhibits Mr. Covington submitted to the Board during the public hearing for VAR-000287-2022: Selby Sign, as previously approved signs, were all approved before 2006.
- Mr. Morrison requested that the BZA reaffirm their request to have the Planning Commission review the Zoning Ordinance concerning double sided signs.

On a motion made by Mr. Bull and seconded by Mr. Gayle the Board of Zoning Appeals voted unanimously to request the Planning Commission to review the Zoning Ordinance in relation to double sided signs.

- Mr. Morrison stated in relation to the public hearing for VAR-000282-2022: Linda Thompson that an inspector cannot determine an 8" difference in the elevation and that is why a survey is required for the flood elevation certificate.
- Mrs. Kerns inquired about the proposed travel trailer amendments. Mr. Morrison informed the Board that amendments had gone before the Board of Supervisors for public hearing, and that a decision was postponed.

7. NEXT MEETING

The next regular Board of Zoning Appeals meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, March 2, 2022 at 10:00 a.m. in the Accomack County Board Chambers, Room 104, in Accomac.

8. ADJOURNMENT

On a motion made by Mr. Gayle and seconded by Mr. Drewer the Board of Zoning Appeals voted unanimously to adjourn the meeting.

The meeting was adjourned at 1:06 p.m.

Mrs. Elizabeth Kerns, Vice Chair

Prepared by Stephanie Woods
Planning & Zoning County of Accomack