

Accomack County Board of Zoning Appeals

Elizabeth Kerns, Chairwoman Lynn P. Gayle Jerrey L. Holland Gracie Milbourne Gary L. Miller

3

1

At a meeting of the Accomack County Board of Zoning Appeals in the County Administration Building, Board Chambers, Room 104, 23296 Courthouse Avenue, Accomac, VA

4

Minutes for Wednesday, July 12, 2023, 10:00 AM

5 6 7

DRAFI

8

1. CALL TO ORDER- Chairwoman Kerns called the meeting to order at 10:00am.

9 10

A. Members Present and Absent

11 12

Board of Zoning Appeals Members Present:

- 13 Ms. Elizabeth Kerns, Chairwoman
- Mr. Harvey Drewer II, Vice Chairman
- Mr. Lynn P. Gayle
- 16 Ms. Gracie Milbourne

17 18

Board of Zoning Appeals Members Absent:

None- Mr. Bull resigned June 13, 2023.

19 20 21

22

23

Others Present:

- Mr. Chris Daley, Code Enforcement Officer II
- Mr. Lee Pambid, Deputy County Administrator
- 24 Mr. Paul Seltzer, Deputy Director
- Ms. Chontese Ridley, Environmental Programs Permit Specialist

26 27

2. DETERMINATION OF A QUORUM

There being a quorum, Chairwoman Kerns called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m.

28 29 30

3. ADOPTION OF AGENDA

On a motion made by Mr. Gayle and seconded by Mr. Drewer, the Board of Zoning Appeals voted unanimously to approve the agenda.

32 33 34

35

31

4. MINUTES

On a motion made by Mr. Gayle and seconded by Mr. Drewer, the Board of Zoning Appeals voted unanimously to approve the June 7, 2023 meeting minutes.

36 37

5. NEW BUSINESS (PUBLIC HEARINGS)

38 39 40

A. SUSE-000508-2023: James Binder - Tax Map #43-5-8

41

A hearing initiated by James Binder to request a Special Use Permit per Accomack County Code Section 106-53(14) which permits Travel Trailers, located outside of travel parks, which

are to be occupied for habitation or storage of chattels. The applicant wishes to store a travel trailer on this unimproved parcel. The parcel is zoned Agriculture and is located partially or wholly within the Chesapeake/Atlantic Preservation Area RPA, and the Airport Overlay District. The 4.27 acre site is located along the south line of Watts Bay Drive (private road), approximately 2,730 feet east of its intersection with Atlantic Road and is Tax Map #43-5-8. Mr. Chris Daley gave an overview of the case stating that the application is the result of a Zoning violation that was issued on March 31, 2023, for the storage of a travel trailer on an unimproved parcel. Section 106-53(14) of the Accomack County Code of Ordinances requires a Special Use Permit for the storage of a travel trailer on an unimproved parcel. According to the applicant's narrative, he wishes to store the travel trailer on this unimproved parcel year round, so that he can use it at various campgrounds and parks. The applicant has indicated that he does not have the room at his home to store this travel trailer.

Mr. Daley said the 4 acre parcel is located in the "Watts Bay Section I" subdivision, which was platted in 1992. Staff was unware of any HOA restrictions that would prohibit this type of use. The surrounding neighborhood has several improved parcels with dwellings and accessory buildings located on them. There does not appear to be any parcels being used solely for the storage of possessions. The applicant has not indicated how long he would like to use the parcel for the storage of the travel trailer or if he intends to develop this parcel in the future.

Mr. Daley stated that Staff understands the rights for property owners to be able to utilize their property in a manner they see fit. However, staff also understood that the County does not want unimproved parcels located in residential areas to be used as storage yards for the owner's belongings. Mr. Daley added that there were several mini-storage businesses throughout the County that offer outdoor storage for boats and RV's, which can accommodate those types of situations.

 Mr. James Binder was called up and sworn in. Mr. Binder explained that the property was purchased for his fifteen year old son, and that he was storing his travel trailer there until he was ready to use it. Mr. Binder added that it was a convenience for him because he has electric there for the camper. He could keep his battery charged and start his refrigerator a few days before he went camping. Mr. Binder said he put a lane in and that he keeps the property up. The camper was tagged, had license and taxes were current.

Chairwoman Kerns asked Mr. Binder how often he went camping. Mr. Binder maybe 10-15 times a year.

Mr. Gayle asked Mr. Binder if he ever intended to use the camper at the location. Mr. Binder said he had never lived in or use the camper on site. Mr. Binder only stores the camper on the property. It just sits until needed or if it needed to be worked on. It was an inconvenience to store the camper at storage facility, because there was no way to keep the batteries charged. There would be no access to electricity unless he used a generator.

Ms. Milbourne asked Mr. Binder were he currently lived. Mr. Binder said he lived on Chincoteague, and did not have space there to store the camper.

Chairwoman Kerns asked if the permit were approved, would it be agreeable to him if they did on a term of two years. It would not be a forever thing. If Mr. Binder wished to continue

the travel trailer on the parcel after the two year time limit he could reapply for another Special Use Permit. Mr. Binder said he understood and agreed.

On a motion made by Mr. Gayle and seconded by Ms. Milbourne, the Board of Zoning Appeals approves Special Use Permit SUSE-000508-2023 for travel trailers, located outside of travel trailer camps for the storage of chattels, as authorized by Section 106-53(14) of the Zoning Ordinance for Tax Map #43-5-8.

The Special Use Permit (SUP) is approved with the Staff's recommended conditions:

- 1. The applicant is permitted to store the travel trailer on the parcel for no longer than 2 years.
 - 2. If the applicant wishes to continue storing the travel trailer on the parcel after the 2 year time limit, he must make a new SUP application at least 2 months prior to the expiration date.
 - 3. The travel trailer shall not be used for habitation on this parcel.

B. SUSE-000512-2023: Franci Hric - 6256 Lankford Hwy, New Church

A hearing initiated by Franci Hric to request a Special Use Permit per Accomack County Code Section 106-179 which states it shall be unlawful to erect a billboard, as described in section 106-1 of this chapter, anywhere within the jurisdiction of the county without first obtaining a special use permit from the board of zoning appeals. The applicant wishes to place a billboard on this parcel to advertise an off-premise business. The .99 acre site is located at 6256 Lankford Hwy, New Church and is Tax Map #27-A-16. This parcel is zoned General Business.

Chris Daley gave an overview of the case stating that the applicant wishes to place a billboard on this parcel to advertise an off-premise business.

Mr. Daley said the applicant was requesting this Special Use Permit to place an 8'x8' billboard on the parcel to advertise an off-premise business that the applicant runs with her husband. VDOT requires any billboard placed along Lankford Highway be placed on a parcel that is zoned General Business. The parcel in question is located in the General Business District, on the southbound side of Lankford Hwy.

Mr. Daley said there is a business located approximately 150' to the south with a Stuckey's billboard located on the far southern end of that parcel. VDOT requires a minimum 300' separation distance between billboards and based on the supplied site plan, the proposed billboard meets that separation distance. The proposed billboard would be 8'x'8 in size, which totals 64 square feet, which is 28 square feet less than the 96 square feet maximum size business sign that is allowed in the County's Sign Ordinance.

Mr. Daley said there was a derelict building on the parcel, and the applicant's narrative indicates that they intend to repair the dwelling and rent it out as office or a dwelling. Staff has spoken to the applicant about what the Ordinance will require to turn it into a dwelling

169 170 171	allowable size for on premise.		
	ever moved the business to the parcel they would have a sign that was the maximum		
168	would not have to use the 96 square feet if they did not want to. Mr. Daley added if they		
167	Mr. Gayle said that way no one would have to go out and measure. Mr. Drewer said that		
166			
165	that as one of the conditions, but a maximum size of 90 square feet could be added.		
164	sign, but they could allow them to go up to the 96 square feet. Mr. Daley said he did not add		
163	they could grant it for 96 square feet. Mr. Daley said the site plan showed an 8 feet by 8 feet		
162	the business was not located on premise it was classified as a billboard. Mr. Gayle asked if		
161	largest permitted size in the sign ordinance by right for an on premise business sign. Since		
160	Mr. Gayle asked about the sizing of the billboard. Mr. Daley said that 96 square feet was the		
159			
158	Stuckey's and Ms. Hric said no, just the sign.		
157	said it was located across from The Peace Token. Chairwoman asked if it was also near		
156	Ms. Milbourne asked if it could be explained where in New Church the parcel was. Ms. Hric		
155	M M''I 1 1'C' 111 1' 1 1 ' N C1 1 1 1 M II'		
154	Chairwoman Kerns asked Ms. Hric where her home was and Ms. Hric told her in Quinby.		
153			
152	but had not decided.		
151	Ms. Hric said they wanted to rebuild it and use it as either an office space or a rental house,		
150	NA TT : 11.4		
149	planned on doing something with it at some point.		
148	Chairwoman Kerns asked Ms. Hric about the derelict building on the property and if she		
147			
146	to promote her husband's business.		
145	Ms. Franci Hric was called up and sworn in. Ms. Hric said they wanted to put up a billboard		
144			
143	proposed sign would be a use-by-right in the district.		
142	premise business that the applicants own. If the business was located on this parcel, the		
141	That was for a rentable billboard south of Onley. This billboard will be advertising an off-		
140	Mr. Daley said the last billboard SUP that was awarded was at the December 2022 meeting.		
139			
	off of Lankford Highway.		
138	or office since it is a non-conforming structure based on its location and the setback distance		

- 2. This billboard is for advertising the applicants own off-premise business. It will not be used for rental purposes.
 - 3. This SUP is awarded for 2 years. If the applicant wishes to continue the use, an application must be submitted at least 2 months prior to the expiration of this SUP.
 - 4. The billboard is approved for 96 square feet

C. SUSE-000515-2023: Arthur Vancil - 32000 Block of Pennyville Rd, Painter

A hearing initiated by Arthur Vancil to request a Special Use Permit per Accomack County Code Section 106-53(2) which permits camping facilities, including travel trailer camps. The applicant wishes to open a 7 site commercial campground. The 1.82 acre site is located along the west side of Pennyville Rd, approximately 1,914 feet north of its intersection with Shell Bridge Rd. and is Tax Map #110-11-4. This parcel is zoned Agriculture.

Mr. Daley gave an overview of the case stating the applicant was requesting this Special Use Permit to use the parcel for a commercial 7 site RV/travel trailer campground. Based on the applicant's narrative the campground will be in operation from April through October, however there was no estimate given on the number of campers that the applicant anticipates per year. There is an existing garage located on the parcel that will be utilized as restroom and laundry facility.

Mr. Daley said the property was located on Pennyville Rd, which is a 2 lane road, and based on VDOT's measurements it has a 30' right of way. This parcel is part of a 4 lot subdivision, which adjoins a 14 lot subdivision to the west. There are 8 dwellings in the surrounding area.

Mr. Daley said they sent the plans out to VDOT for their review and provided comments that will need to be addressed before VDOT approval can be obtained. These comments were sent to the applicant via email. Staff has not been informed by the applicant about the status of addressing these comments.

Mr. Daley said there are proposed septic areas shown on the site plan, however Staff has not received any approvals from Virginia Department of Health for the proposed systems. Given the nature of this project, Staff recommends that this matter should be tabled until the applicant has provided the required information and received approvals for the entrance from VDOT. During that time, the applicant must also obtain approvals from VDH for the proposed well and septic systems on the parcel.

Mr. Arthur Vancil was called up and sworn in. Mr. Vancil said he would like to open up his 2 acre property up for camping to tourist who have not been able to find camping sites. Mr. Vancil said he would like to open a quiet respectful site where people come have a retreat in nature. Mr. Vancil added that his objective was the promotion of people being able to camp in a natural setting.

Mr. Vancil said he had the property for two years and felt it was a good site for that type of thing, and that the wooded area provided a quite setting for people to come and respectfully do their camping. Mr. Vancil he planned to operate as a regular campground week to week and charge people for the use of the campground.

Mr. Vancil agreed that he needed to get with VDOT and that he had engaged the engineering firm to help he define the VDOT requirements for the entrance on Pennyville Road. Mr. Vancil said he had already applied, received, and installed water and septic systems that were adequate for the campground. Mr. Vancil said he would get the engineer to clarify that and get the approval from the Department of Health.

Mr. Brett Mariner was called up and sworn in. Mr. Mariner said he was speaking on behalf of his mother and her property was south of the proposed campground. Mr. Mariner said they objected the project even though the project narrative stated otherwise. Mr. Mariner said their objection was based on lack of safety, protection, and noise.

Mr. John Seaman was called up and sworn in. Mr. Seaman said he was 350 square feet from the applicant's property. Mr. Seaman asked that the board not table, but deny the application. Mr. Seaman said it was a quiet residential neighborhood surrounded by agriculture and this would destroy the character of the neighborhood forever.

Mr. Seaman said after the permit was granted that Mr. Vancil could sell the property. Mr. Seaman added that Pennyville Road was a very narrow road, it was hard for two cars two cars to pass on that road, and adding this campground would add to those problems.

Mr. Seaman said in his expert opinion, the 1.8 acres would not support 20-30 people. Mr. Seaman said the system would fail, it would affect the surrounding wells, and there will be a mess 5 feet off the road.

Mr. Seaman said the narrative the applicant says that he would have a security guard there, because of intruders and rowdy tenants. Mr. Seaman said that he (the applicant) recognized the solution that none of them (the residents) wanted. Mr. Seaman also mentioned that the only nature in the area was that of the residents, and that the tenants would be on their properties.

Mr. Ira Higbee was called up and sworn in. Mr. Higbee said that when he purchased his property he signed a paper saying that he would not put a trailer there, have a certain type of house, it had to be so many feet from the road, and that was fine with him. Mr. Higbee said he knew it was agriculture land, but it was a residential area.

Mr. Higbee said he could not imagine why someone would start building anything like that before having it approved unless they were trying to go around the board.

Mr. Anthony Pennino was called up and sworn in. Mr. Pennino said according to Mr. Vancil's narrative the neighbor's to the north and south were in favor of the campground, but no one in the neighborhood was to his knowledge. Mr. Pennino said he was against the project for the same reasons the others had spoken on.

Mr. Pennino said everyone was worried about their property values and added that He and his wife purchased their home in February of 2021. They bought the home due to its seclusion, safety, and the neighborhood atmosphere. Now their future investment was in jeopardy. All the future plans for the campground were "could be's" and nothing was set in stone.

Mr. Pennino said he fear was that when the campground was not successful, it would turn into permanent trailer housing. Needing to have an on-site security guard was also a concern with people coming in from different places. Mr. Pennino also spoke on how far the nearest water access/beach were to prove that the location did not make sense, and how they would be bringing in RVs and boats. This will cause more than a minimum impact as Mr.Vancil stated.

Mr. James Wheatley was called up and sworn in. Mr. Wheatley said he was speaking for the property adjacent to the applicant's property. Mr. Wheatley said his two children and their mother live there. Mr. Wheatley said it was a quiet, safe and a close nit neighborhood.

Mr. Wheatley said he researched six campgrounds on the Eastern Shore and there were 1,686 camp sites, not including tents or cabins. To say this was going to be an overflow for those campsites was far off. That also did not include Trails End.

Mr. Wheatley said that his fear was that when someone becomes unruly, (even though there is supposed to be someone on site 24/7), with limited law enforcement available it may take longer than expected to get to the incidents. Mr. Wheatley also stated that the property was not isolated as other campgrounds were on the shore, and there was also a greater chance of fires from unattended campfires. Mr. Wheatley said with those concerns they do not support the neighboring property being converted into a campground no matter how small it was.

Ms. Nicole Wheatley was called up and sworn in. Ms. Wheatley she had two young children that reside in her home that was north of the proposed campground. Ms. Wheatley said since the new owner had removed almost all of tree line on his side of the property meaning the campers would be up against her property line, (80-100 feet away from her house).

Ms. Wheatley said that she had an inground pool and was concerned that if they had unruly campers she would had a liability at stake. There was nothing in between the campground and pool to keep campers from getting in it and injuring themselves. Ms. Wheatley said this was a privacy issue, and that she was never contacted by Mr. Vancil to give her thoughts. Ms. Wheatley said she did not support the campground in any way.

Ms. Jared Marsh was called up and sworn in. Mr. Marsh said he lived about 100 miles northwest of the property. Mr. Marsh said the road was the worst road for anyone to get down, and driving out you have to go 25mph due to all the bumps. There was no room for RVs.

Mr. Marsh said he and his wife purchased their house based on its location. It was secluded, away from town and away from tourism. Mr. Marsh mentioned people coming in with animals could cause issues with the neighborhood animals. Mr. Marsh also added that to the southwest there was a trailer park and every so often he could hear music blaring through his walls. To have a campground, (less than 120 yards away), with 20-30 people and no one known if they were going to play music or not. Mr. Marsh said due to his job and having to get up early, sleep was important to him.

Chairwoman Kerrns asked Mr. Marsh how far the trailer park was from his home. Mr. Marsh said 1 mile to the southwest.

Mr. Gayle said the BZA needed to consider the general character of surrounding neighborhood, area, and community in order to facilitate the preservation or creation of an

attractive harmonious community. Within 500 square feet of this parcel there were 13 parcels and 8 of them have dwellings located on them.

Mr. Gayle said the BZA also needed to consider the environmental effect on scenic, historic, and waterfront areas including property rights, values of adjoining and nearby property owners.

Mr. Gayle said the BZA also needed to consider any other factors that may be deemed necessary to ensure public health and safety, convenience, and welfare of the general public within the district.

Mr. Gayle said this project failed on every one of those things. Mr. Gayle said he was not saying that there could not be more room for campgrounds, but not in residential community.

Mr. Drewer said what had his attention was that before anything had been done, they are suggesting to have a security guard on site. Mr. Drewer said that told him what the surrounding neighborhood would be like if they were to put the campground there.

Mr. Vancil said he was grateful to his neighbors for coming in and expressing their concerns. Mr. Vancil said he shared a lot of their concerns and he hoped that they had seen that in the narrative. He was trying to protect every one's interest and be a good neighbor.

Chairwoman Kerns agreed this maybe a good place somewhere, but it was not the right thing for this place.

On a motion made by Mr. Gayle and seconded by Ms. Milbourne, the Board of Zoning Appeals denied Special Use Permit SUSE-000515-2023 camping facilities, including travel trailer camps as authorized by Code Section 106-53(2) of the Zoning Ordinance, located in the Agriculture District along the west side of Pennyville Rd., for Tax Map #110-11-4.

D. SUSE-000516-2023: Daniel Kelley - 9000 Block of Neal Parker Rd, Temperanceville

A hearing initiated by Daniel Kelly to request a Special Use Permit per Accomack County Code Section 106-53(14) which permits Travel Trailers, located outside of travel trailer parks, which are to be occupied for habitation or storage of chattels. The applicant wishes to use a travel trailer as an office for the tree farm located on the parcel. The 72.14 acre site is located along the east line of Neal Parker Rd., approximately 400 feet north of its intersection with Saxis Rd. and is Tax Map #41-A-1A. This parcel is zoned Agriculture and is located partially within the Special Flood Hazard Area, Zones 8BFE.

Mr. Daley gave an overview of the case stating that the applicant wishes use a travel trailer as an office for the tree farm located on the parcel.

Mr. Daley said the applicants were currently in the process of planting fruit trees on this parcel, which they intend to sell the fruit once the trees mature. The applicants' first inquired about putting a pole barn on the parcel and were informed that since the parcel was being utilized as an agriculture operation, they would qualify for an Ag exemption that would allow the construction of a pole barn without requiring a building permit.

Mr. Daley said the applicants' decided they wanted to obtain a Special Use Permit to utilize a travel trailer as an office, since that would not require them to invest heavily into the parcel prior to finding out if the tree operation is profitable.

Mr. Daley said typically, the BZA has been issuing SUP for travel trailers to either be used for hunting or camping. The BZA has not issued any SUP's for travel trailers that will be used as an office. Mr. Daley added that staff understood the applicant's desire to use the travel trailer they already own in this capacity, however, staff also doesn't want the County's farming operations to be using travel trailers as a substitute for approved Agriculture structures, such as pole barns and equipment sheds.

Ms. Laura Kelley was called up and sworn in. Ms. Kelley said he and her husband had started pawpaw tree farm. The trees did not require pesticides or irrigation. Ms. Kelley said they are a lost tree, but they were able to find some seeds. They have been cultivating the seeds for the last ten years. Ms. Kelley said have put up to 100 trees in and they were thriving, however, it takes 10-15 years to bear fruit.

Ms. Kelley said they put in a pad, there was electric, and they had a Airstream Camper during covid that they bought down to use as an office to get out of the sun. Ms. Kelley said they planned on putting in a pole building once they know the trees are settled in. Ms. Kelley asked if they could continue to use it until they knew.

Chairwoman Kerns asked Ms. Kelley how long would it take. Ms. Kelley said she thought they were in good shape. They have flowers on them and would know within a couple of years.

Ms. Kelley said she met some of the neighbors, but was not sure if any of them had issues.

Mr. Gayle asked why they were using a travel trailer and not an office trailer. Ms. Kelley said they owned the Airstream, it was nice and looked better than the office trailers. However, Ms. Kelley said, they do not have to use it, but it was a convenience for them. They were not going to live there and there was no well or septic.

Mr. Drewer asked how long the trees took to mature. Ms. Kelley said if you were lucky, 10-12 years.

Ms. Deborah Wharton was called up and sworn in. Ms. Wharton said she understood what Ms. Kelley was saying in regards to the Special Use Permit. Ms. Wharton said she learned of this through the certified letter sent about the property. She and her husband have owned their property since 1977 and that it bordered Ms. Kelley's property on three sides.

Ms. Wharton said she was there to speak on not advocating for the permit to be approved and that she would like for it to be denied for several reasons. Ms. Wharton said travel trailers belonged on the road, they were not designed to be an office unit, and they were used for recreational purposes. They lived in an agricultural area and travel trailers were not permitted outside of travel trailer parks.

Ms. Wharton said another reason for not wanting this permitted was because of the adverse impact it would have on the value of the property. It was a vehicle, vehicles depreciate, and

- it would add no value to the community. Ms. Wharton went on to talk about other items,
- 423 (poultry farm and convenience center), that had already lowered the property values in the
- area. Ms. Wharton said they did not have a say in any of those things, but this time they do.
- Ms. Wharton said herself, her husband and all of her neighbors, (those who were present and
- were not), did not want the board to approve the permit.
- 427 Chairwoman Kerns asked Mr. Wharton if where she was located was in site of the trailer.
- Ms. Wharton stated that she was adjacent on three sides of the property.
- Mr. Drewer asked Ms. Wharton if the trailer was not there if she would have any objection
- 430 to the applicant moving forward with the project as far as growth. Ms. Wharton said that
- was not her right, because it was zoned agriculture.

- Ms. Dorothy Jones was called up and sworn in. Ms. Jones said it was directly in the back of her. It was about 15 feet between her property line on the right that leads to the field. Ms. Jones said that was an area that she has to pay extra for when having her grass cut, because the applicant would not cut it. Ms. Jones said that she has asthma and the tall grass bothers
- 436 th 437 it.

Ms. Jones said on the back end of her property, about 10 feet, was the tree line. Ms. Jones said the applicant had a partial part of the field in the back were they could have put the trees instead of putting them on the edge of their line.

Ms. Dawn Thomas was called up and sworn in. Ms. Thomas said that she was a great granddaughter of a farmer so she was not new to farming, and was used to it being done behind their dwelling. Ms. Thomas said she had questions about the travel trailer. In the letter she received it stated for habitat or storage. It was not being used right now, but who was to say in the future the applicant would not want to use the travel trailer for habitation. Ms. Thomas said they were not in agreement with that.

Ms. Thomas said the letter also said "trailers", and she had a question about that. Would just that one travel trailer be allowed to be there or would there be multiple.

Ms. Thomas also wanted to know how their property values would be affected. Ms. Thomas said they were not against the fruit trees, she was looking forward to what the applicant had to produce, but she did have questions about anything that would cause her property value to go down.

Ms. Thomas also asked if there was another way. Ms. Kelley previously stated that she had planted trees at her home and on Chincoteague, and Ms. Thomas wanted to know why the trailer could not be put on Chincoteague instead of where they live. Ms. Thomas said there would be years to wait, but Ms. Kelley also spoke on building a commercial building. Ms. Thomas said they were not in agreeance with a commercial building being constructed in their neighborhood.

Ms. Kelley said they had no desire to make any neighbors upset by what they were doing, and if it meant moving the trailer, they would move the trailer. Ms. Kelley said in terms of the grass, they were not good at keeping it cut low, but they would happy to pay for the extra that Ms. Jones had been paying.

Ms. Kelley said in terms of the Wharton's property, no one was living there currently, but still wanted to make sure they were doing the right thing.

Ms. Kelley said on the commercial side she envisioned it being something where they would get the crop picked and processed there, or somewhere else. It would be a quick pick season, 3-4 weeks, and not something where people would be coming out all the time. They would not be camping or living there.

Mr. Gayle said he understood Ms. Kelley, but they weigh heavily on what adjoining property owners say. Mr. Gayle said 10 years was a long time to wait for some type of economic return, but he gathered that was not their plan. Ms. Kelley said it was for their grandchildren.

Ms. Milbourne stated to Mr. Daley that she was not sure that travel trailers met the criteria, per the building code, for an office. Mr. Daley said he did not look at that. Ms. Milbourne said it would have to meet the industrialized building code from what she remembered.

Mr. Gayle asked to confirm that office trailers were not considered travel trailers. That was correct. Mr. Daley said they were basically like a mobile home. Mr. Daley said it was not specifically spelled out in the ordinance to where an office trailer was a use by right in the agriculture district, but he assumed they could do it like the poultry farms. The use of the property is the agriculture and the office is an accessory to that use.

Ms. Milbourne asked to confirm that the travel trailer would not have met the criteria. Mr. Daley said that was correct.

On a motion made by Ms. Milbourne and seconded by Mr. Dewer, the Board of Zoning Appeals denied Special Use Permit SUSE-000516-2023 for a travel trailer to be used as an office trailer located near the intersection of Saxis Road and Neal Parker Road for Tax Map #41-A-1A.

D. SUSE-000520-2023: Daniel Wood - 26000 Block of Martin Rd, Harborton

A hearing initiated by Daniel Wood to request a Special Use Permit Accomack County Code Section 106-53(2) which permits camping facilities, including travel trailer camps. The applicant wishes to create a 3 site personal campground to be used by friends and family. The 5.98 acre site is located along the west side of Martin Rd, approximately 2,249 feet north of its intersection with Hacksneck Rd and is Tax Map #99-A-116. This parcel is zoned Agriculture and is located wholly within the Special Flood Hazard Area, Zones 5BFE and 6BFE.

Mr. Daley gave an overview of the case stating that the applicant is requesting this Special Use Permit to use this unimproved parcel as a private 3 site campground. This 5.98 acre unimproved parcel is located on Martin Rd, to the west of Harborton. The applicant indicated that he intends to use the parcel several times a year to camp with his family and friends.

Mr. Daley stated that there were no water or septic facilities located on the parcel The narrative indicated that a well be installed at a later date and all of the septic needs will be handled off site, with the travel trailers being pumped out at an approved facility. There are no dwellings on any of the adjoining parcels.

Mr. Daley said the parcel was located in a 5BFE and 6BFE flood zone. The applicant had been made aware of the requirement that travel trailers are not allowed to be parked in a flood hazard zone for longer than 180 consecutive days.

Mr. Daley pointed out that the applicant's narrative did not indicate how many times per year this parcel will be used as a campground nor did it indicate how long he was looking to use this parcel in this capacity.

Mr. Daley added that several Special Use Permits awarded for hunting/camping have been awarded in the past years. Most of those SUPs were given a 3-5 year time frame, with the option of re-applying when the SUP expires.

Mr. Daniel Wood was called up and sworn in. Mr. Wood gave some background information on the property stating it would now be a sixth generation property. Mr. Wood said he had Mr. Marshall Parks to have a survey done prior to purchasing the property. From there Mr. Wood discovered an old road on the property through the woods. Mr. Wood said he then contacted Mr. Dale Pussey at VDOT, obtained a VDOT permit, and had the entrance put there.

Mr. Wood said the reasoning for the 40 square feet variance was due to the roads being narrow and not wanting to affect the neighbors. There would be plenty of room to get his camper in without effecting traffic.

Mr. Wood said that he had no idea that he needed a permit from Accomack County. Mr. Wood said that any camper placed on a site temporarily would be weekend bases.

Chairwoman Kerns, asked to confirm, that this was not for commercial use and just for personal family. Mr. Wood confirmed that.

Mr. Wood said he did not come down here to hunt. The property was purchased because it previously belonged to his great grandfather, and planned to pass it down to his son. Mr. Wood said as far as how many times they were going to use it, he did not know. Mr. Wood said he had just been diagnosed with stage four cancer and said he would see how it worked out, but he wanted to camp as much as he could while he could.

Mr. Dennis Baker was called up and sworn in. Mr. Baker, (adjacent property owner), said he had hunting blinds and trails there and was concerned if it became heavily used on a lot of weekends there would be people trespassing. Mr. Baker was also concerned that, with the trailer there, he would need to move his hunting blinds. Mr. Baker asked if any fences were going to be put up to separate the two.

Mr. Wood said he had no plans on putting in a fence and did not have plans on camping in the winter. Mr. Wood said his camper was not a winter camper.

Mr. Gayle wanted to confirm that Mr. Wood would be bringing the trailers down, use them, and then take them back. Mr. Wood said yes.

On a motion made by Mr. Gayle and seconded by Mr. Drewer, the Board of Zoning Appeals approves Special Use Permit SUSE-000520-2023 for personal camping facilities, including travel trailer camps, as authorized by Section 106-53(2) of the Zoning Ordinance for Tax Map #99-A-116.

The Special Use Permit (SUP) is approved with the Staff's recommended conditions:

- 1. This SUP is valid for 3 years. If the applicant wishes to continue the use, an application must be submitted at least 2 months prior to the expiration date.
- 2. There shall be no discharge of any waste water on the parcel.
- 3. The travel trailers are not permitted to remain on the parcel for any longer than 180 consecutive days.

E. VAR-000520-2023: George Young III for Robert Martin - 32305 Powers Ln, Atlantic

A hearing initiated by George Young III for Robert Martin to request a Variance of 1604 square feet from the 20,000 square foot minimum lot requirement per Section 106-80 of the Accomack County Code. The applicant is gifting a portion of his property that contains a cemetery to the church which is adjoining. The 19,584 square foot site is located at 32305 Powers Ln, Atlantic and is Tax Map #42A1-A-21. This parcel is zoned Residential.

Mr. Daley gave an overview of the case stating that applicant was gifting a portion of his property that contained a cemetery to the church which is adjoining at 10084 Atlantic Rd, to the east.

Mr. Daley said applicant wanted to place a modular home on this parcel. When making the application, he was told that a mortgage could not be obtained since there were some graves located on this parcel. Those graves were part of the Atlantic Baptist Church, which this parcel adjoins. Staff could not determine when these graves were placed at this location. The applicant wished to survey off approximately 1100 square feet of land area and give to the Atlantic Baptist Church, which already has a cemetery located on it.

Mr. Daley said the parcel is already under the current 20,000 square feet lot size requirement, however aerial photos show that this parcel had a dwelling located on it in 2002, which was removed sometime prior to 2007. The supplied site plan showed that there was a well and septic tank currently located on the property.

Mr. Daley said there are 4 parcels directly to the west which currently have dwellings located on them. Only one of those parcels meets the 20,000 square foot area requirement for lots in the Residential District. The average lot size for the other 3 lots is 16,105 sqft. If this variance application is granted, the new size of the applicant's lot will be 18,396 square feet. The new parcel will not be out of character for the surrounding neighborhood.

Mr. Shawn Taylor was called up and sworn in. Mr. Taylor said that the owner was looking to install a house for his residence. During the process of submitting the drawings to

613		Oakwood Homes they discovered that their finance agent would not let the house go		
614		lot because of the cemetery lots.		
615				
616		Mr. Taylor said they just wanted to convey thos	se off so that Mr. Martin could start	
617		construction.		
618				
619		Mr. Mickey Merritt was called up and sworn in	. Mr. Merritt said the applicants had	
620		maintained the property for many years. Mr. Me	erritt said the vision was to someday build a	
621		one story home for their needs. Mr. Merritt said	it was his hope that the board would	
622		approve this.		
623				
624		Ms. Milbourne asked Mr. Daley to confirm, tha	t all the lot on that road were grandfathered	
625		non-conforming lots. Mr. Daley confirmed that	was correct.	
626				
627		Mr. Taylor said the new house would be sitting	over the front yard setback and apologized	
628		about not mentioning it sooner. Mr. Taylor aske	ed if that was something that could be	
629		approved now as well. Mr. Daley said no, but it	could probably be done administratively.	
630				
631		On a motion made by Ms. Milbourne and seconded by Mr. Drewer, the Board of Zoning		
632		Appeals approves a Variance of 1604 square feet, pursuant to Accomack County Code		
633		Section 106-80 which states the minimum lot s	ize for parcel in the residential district shall	
634		be 20,000 square feet for Tax Map #42A1-A-2.	1.	
635				
636	6.	NEXT MEETING		
637		The next regular Board of Zoning Appeals mee		
638		6, 2023 at 10:00 a.m. in the Accomack County	Board Chambers, Room 104, in Accomac.	
639				
640	7.	ADJOURNMENT		
641		On a motion made by Ms. Milbourne and seconded by Mr. Drewer, the Board of Zoning		
642		Appeals voted unanimously to adjourn the med	eting at 11:45 a.m.	
643				
644				
645				
646				
647				
648	Mr	s. Elizabeth Kerns, Chairwoman	Prepared by	
649			Chontese Ridley, Planner I	